



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|

|            |          |            |                |
|------------|----------|------------|----------------|
| 09/407,371 | 09/28/99 | ROTHSCHILD | J 1719.0050002 |
|------------|----------|------------|----------------|

TM01/1208  
STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC  
SUITE 600  
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3934

EXAMINER

MAUNG, Z

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2154

DATE MAILED:

12/08/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

  
1-File Copy

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/407,371

Applicant:  
Rothschild et al.

Examiner  
Zarni Maung

Group Art Unit  
2154



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Zarni Maung (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Mr. Raymond Millien (Registration No. 43,806) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Dec 7, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 10, 18, and 23

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner informed to the applicant's attorney that there are typographical errors on the page 3 of the examiner's amendment paper 9, mailed on 12/5/2000. The examiner informed to the applicant's attorney to disregard the amendment to claim 10, line 13, claim 18, line 13 and claim 23, line 12 which appeared on page 3 of the examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

ZARNI MAUNG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 2154